Physical Fieldwork

Geographical Enquiry:

To evaluate the success of coastal management strategies in Walton on the Naze

<u>Hypothesis</u>: Coastal management at Walton on the Naze is effective at reducing erosion <u>Sub Hypothesis 1</u>: The beach profile will be steeper in the managed

zone than the unmanaged zone

<u>Sub-Hypothesis 2:</u> Infiltration rates will be greater in the managed zone than the unmanaged zone

Location: Where did you go and why?

WotN is a suitable location to study coastal management because:

- There are many different types of coastal management in use here
- We can find out how effective coastal management is by comparing the managed zone to the south, where coastal management is in use, to the unmanaged zone in the north, which has been left unprotected.
- Easily accessible from London

EQ) Explain the advantage(s) of the location(s) used for your fieldwork enquiry (2 marks)

Method: What data collection techniques did you use?

Describe what you did and explain how they help to answer your question.

Description-infiltration rates were measured in the managed and unmanaged zones at 6m intervals (systematic sampling) along the five 20m-long transects that were set up using the tape measure. At each of these points, the mallet was used to secure the infiltration tube into the ground (2cm deep). Then, water from the sea was collected in a bucket and poured to the brim of the infiltration tube. A ruler was used to measure the amount of water that had emptied from the tube after one minute, as measured by a stopwatch.

Justification- Infiltration rates tell us how built-up the beach is and therefore if the groynes are working (preventing longshore drift) - Infiltration rates were measured less frequently (every 6m) than the beach profile because of time constraints.

EQ) Justify one primary data collection method used in your human

geography enquiry (3 marks)

<u>Risks-.Describe the risks you experienced on your fieldwork trip and how</u> these could be reduced

 $1-{\rm Tides}$ - consult tide timetables, particularly along cliff sections, headlands and wide beaches. Every year people get cut off in this way.

2 – Watch out for and avoid slippery rocks on the foreshore at low tide. Students advised to wear sensible footwear and warned of the risks.

 ${\bf 3}$ – Weather - hot weather. Students advised to bring plenty of water and sun cream if the weather forecast is hot.

EQ) Suggest one reason why risk assessment was important when planning your enquiry. (2 marks)

Analysis and conclusion

Description of results: At all locations along the transect, managed zone infiltration rates are significantly higher than in the unmanaged zone. For example, at a distance of 18m from the sea, managed zone infiltration rates are 240mm per minute while unmanaged zone infiltration rates are just 5mm per minute.

Analysis of results: We can infer from the large difference in infiltration rates that coastal management is effective at Walton on the Naze. This is because infiltration rates are higher in areas where sediment is more built up on a beach. Water passes through the gaps between sediment particles more quickly in built-up areas. Therefore, the high infiltration rates in the managed zone tell us that the beach contains more sediment than the unmanaged zone, and as a result we can infer that coastal management has been effective at maintaining sediment on the beach in this area.

Data anomaly: In the unmanaged zone, infiltration rates decreased with distance from the sea at the last point along the transect. This is an anomaly because the rest of the data shows increasing infiltration rates with increasing distance from the sea. This might have happened because of human error (e.g. the infiltration tube was not driven deeply enough into the sediment so water spilled out at the bottom).

EQ) For one of your fieldwork enquiries, to what extent did the result(s) and the conclusion(s) meet the original aim(s)? (9 marks + 3 SPaG) EQ) To what extent were the data collected useful in satisfying the original aim(s) of the enquiry? (6 marks)

Evaluation of data presentation: Method: Grouped bar chart (See below) Strengths: • Very visual. Easy to plot by hand. • Full range of data can be seen together with the patterns and groupings of the data. • Good for comparing sets of data. • Appropriate for discrete data. How could the graph/ presentation be improved GIS - Proportional symbols could have been used to show changes in sediment size along the shore. These could be located on a digital map EQ) Assess how effective your presentation technique(s) were in collected in this enquiry. (6 marks)

Str					
·	rengths	Weaknesses	Next time/ improvements		
• •	The method of data collection is simple to carry out. Systematic sampling is simple and has good coverage of the study area little equipment needed. Equipment used to ensure accuracy	 a larger sample would have given more accurate data. There may be some user error when water spilled out of the tube Tape measures need to be held parallel to the beach which was difficult 	 Measure more intervals on each transect Compare different times of the year. 		



Conclusion: What was the answer!?

The results of this investigation support the hypothesis that coastal management at Walton on the Naze is effective at reducing erosion. Both of the main methods of primary data collection – beach profiles and infiltration rates – provide evidence that the beach in the managed zone is significantly more built-up with sediment than the beach in the unmanaged zone. This is because the managed zone has a number of coastal management methods in place, including groynes, sea walls, and rip-rap, whereas the unmanaged zone has been left alone. The fact that the beach is more built-up in the managed zone shows that coastal management is effective because the management methods have retained sediment on the beach, instead of it being eroded away or transported by longshore drift. The unmanaged zone has a smaller beach with less sediment because there are no methods in place of preventing erosion.

Physical fieldwork: Walton on the Naze

Quick questions:

- 1. Title of fieldwork enquiry/investigation
- 2. Hypothesis (prediction)
- 3. Location(s)
- 4. Primary data collected
- 5. Secondary data used
- 6. For a named method, what sampling strategy was used?
- 7. For a named method, what data presentation technique was used?
- 8. Conclusion of investigation (this answers your hypothesis and/or investigation title)

Physical fieldwork: Walton on the Naze

Quick questions:

- 1. Title of fieldwork enquiry/investigation To evaluate the success of coastal management strategies in Walton on the Naze
- 2. Hypothesis (prediction) Coastal management at Walton on the Naze is effective at reducing erosion
- 3. Location(s) Walton on the Naze, Essex. Managed and unmanaged zones.
- 4. Primary data collected **Beach profiles**, infiltration rates
- 5. Secondary data used Aerial/satellite photograph
- 6. For a named method, what sampling strategy was used? Beach profiles and infiltration rates: systematic sampling
- 7. For a named method, what data presentation technique was used? Beach profiles: line graph. Infiltration rates: comparative bar graph.
- 8. Conclusion of investigation (this answers your hypothesis and/or investigation title) The hypothesis is supported: coastal management at Walton on the Naze is effective at reducing erosion

Location(s): Walton on the Naze, Essex. Managed and unmanaged zones

Explain the advantage(s) of the location(s) used for your fieldwork enquiry (2 marks)

- There are many different types of coastal management in use here
- We can find out how effective coastal management is by comparing the managed zone to the south, where coastal management is in use, to the unmanaged zone in the north, which has been left unprotected.
- Easily accessible from London

Justifying your hypotheses

Your geographical question/investigation title:

• To evaluate the success of management strategies in a coastal area you have studied

Your main hypothesis (directly answers the question):

The management at Walton on the Naze is effective at reducing erosion

Your sub-hypotheses (provides evidence for the main hypothesis):

- 1. The beach profile will be steeper in the managed zone than the unmanaged zone
- 2. Infiltration rates will be greater in the managed zone than the unmanaged zone

Justifying your hypotheses





1. The beach profile will be steeper in the managed zone than the unmanaged zone.

Justification – beach profiles tell us how built-up the beach is and therefore if the groynes are working (preventing longshore drift)
Beach profiles were measured every 2m along each transact (systematic sampling)





2. The infiltration rates will be faster in the managed zone than the unmanaged zone

Justification - Infiltration rates tell us how builtup the beach is and therefore if the groynes are working (preventing longshore drift)
- Infiltration rates were measured less frequently (every 6m) than the beach profile because of time constraints.



Primary data: Beach profiles, infiltration rates



Secondary data

The satellite photograph of Walton on the Naze was used to calculate rates of past erosion. The distance from the WW2 pillboxes to the cliff edge was calculated as 80-90m, which tells us that since 1940 (77 years), the cliff has eroded at a rate of over 1m per year. This provides evidence that erosion is affecting Walton on the Naze.



Evaluation of data collection

Strengths

- The method of data collection is simple to carry out.
- Systematic sampling is simple and has good coverage of the study area..
- little equipment needed.
- Equipment used to ensure accuracy

Next time/ improvements

٠

٠

- Measure more intervals on each transect
- Compare different times of the year.

Weaknesses

- a larger sample would have given more accurate data.
- There may be some user error when water spilled out of the tube
- Tape measures need to be held parallel to the beach which was difficult

Data presentation: comparative bar graph

Comparative Bar Chart Showing Infiltration Rates in Managed and Unmanaged Zones



Why a comparative bar graph?

- Very visual. Easy to plot by hand.
- Full range of data can be seen together with the patterns and groupings of the data.
- Good for comparing sets of data (managed and unmanaged zone)
- Appropriate for discrete data (data that can be put into categories)
 BUT...
- Significant differences are hard to compare

Analysis and conclusions

HEADLINE CONCLUSION: The results of this investigation support the hypothesis that coastal management at Walton on the Naze is effective at reducing erosion.

ANALYSIS (why have we reached this conclusion?):

- Both of the main methods of primary data collection beach profiles and infiltration rates – provide evidence that the beach in the managed zone is significantly more built-up with sediment than the beach in the unmanaged zone.
- This is because the managed zone has a number of coastal management methods in place, including groynes, sea walls, and rip-rap, whereas the unmanaged zone has been left alone.
- The fact that the beach is more built-up in the managed zone shows that coastal management is effective because the management methods have retained sediment on the beach, instead of it being eroded away or transported by longshore drift. The unmanaged zone has a smaller beach with less sediment because there are no methods in place of preventing erosion.

Human Fieldwork

Geographical Enquiry: <i>To evaluate the success of regeneration of the</i> <i>Docklands</i> <i>Hypothesis:</i> Regeneration has not benefited Canary Wharf and Cubitt Town equally <i>Sub Hypothesis 1:</i> The environmental improvements haven't benefited local residents in Cubitt Town. <i>Sub-Hypothesis 2:</i> The economic opportunities haven't benefited Cubitt Town as much as Canary Wharf	Analysis and conclusion Description of results: For each area of the SEQS, of had a score of 2.8 in Canary Wharf and 0.2 in Cubi Analysis of results: EQ) For one of your fieldwork enquiries, to wh EQ) To what extent were the data collected us	Canary Wharf had a better score than Cu tt Town, a difference of 2.6. nat extent did the result(s) and the c seful in satisfying the original aim(s)	ubitt Town apart fri conclusion(s) mee of the enquiry? (f	om traffic. For example the category of buldings t the original aim(s)? (9 marks + 3 SPaG) 6 marks)	
Location: Where did you go and why? The docklands was a good location to look at urban regeneration because: - Recent regeneration so can conclude any difference are due to regeneration. - Cubitt Town has quite a high deprivation index score so its an important area of the docklands to see how it has been affected by the redevelopment. - Easily accessible from school EQ) Explain the advantage(s) of the location(s) used for your fieldwork enquiry (2 marks) Method: What data collection techniques did you use? Describe what you did and explain how they help to answer your question. Social and Environmental Quality survey Description-1We used a survey form which took into account aspects of the	Evaluation of data presentation: Method: Grouped bar chart (See below) Strengths: • Very visual. Easy to plot by hand. • Full range of data can be seen together with the patterns and groupings of the data. • Good for comparing sets of data. • Appropriate for discrete data. How could the graph/ presentation be improved Separate further into different categories				
environment and social quality of life in each area. We went towards the centre of our study sites in Canary wharf and Cubitt Town to complete the survey.	Evaluation of data collection:			, 	
When completing the survey we looked around us and gave a score to each of the environmental and social factors on the survey form	Strengths	Weaknesses		Next time/ improvements	
Justification- This enabled us to quantify the quality of the environment in each location and the social aspects of each for example 'crime' or 'services'. EQ) Justify one primary data collection method used in your human geography enquiry (3 marks)	 The method of data collection is simple to carry out. Systematic sampling is simple and has good coverage of the study area little equipment needed. Equipment used to ensure accuracy 	 a larger sample would have given data. There may be some user error who out of the tube Tape measures need to be held pa beach which was difficult 	more accurate en water spilled arallel to the	 Measure more intervals on each transect Compare different times of the year. 	
Risks Describe the risks you experienced on your fieldwork trip and how these could be reduced 1 - Transport- staying in groups so we don't get separated. 2- Weather - hot weather. Students advised to bring plenty of water and sun cream if the weather forecast is hot. EQ) Suggest one reason why risk assessment was important when planning your enquiry. (2 marks)	Bar Graph Comparing Social and Environ Canary Wharf and Cubitt To Canary Wharf and Cubitt To Buildings Traffic Open General Buildings	inty Service provision Crime	lusion: What ement at Walton of the main method itration rates – pr significantly more aged zone. This is management me whereas the unm	t was the answer!? gation support the hypothesis that coastal on the Naze is effective at reducing erosion. Is of primary data collection – beach profiles rovide evidence that the beach in the managed built-up with sediment than the beach in the because the managed zone has a number of thods in place, including groynes, sea walls, a nanaged zone has been left alone. The fact the	

- 7

-3

Social/Environmental Category Canary Wharf Cubitt Town

the beach is more built-up in the managed zone shows that coastal management is effective because the management methods have retained sediment on the beach, instead of it being eroded away or transported by longshore drift. The unmanaged zone has a smaller beach with less sediment because there are no methods in place of preventing erosion.

Human fieldwork: London Docklands

Quick questions:

- 1. Title of fieldwork enquiry/investigation
- 2. Hypothesis (prediction)
- 3. Location(s)
- 4. Primary data collected
- 5. Secondary data used
- 6. For a named method, what sampling strategy was used?
- 7. For a named method, what data presentation technique was used?
- 8. Conclusion of investigation (this answers your hypothesis and/or investigation title)

Human fieldwork: London Docklands

Quick questions:

- 1. Title of fieldwork enquiry/investigation To evaluate the success of regeneration of the Docklands
- 2. Hypothesis (prediction) Regeneration has not benefited Canary Wharf and Cubitt Town equally
- 3. Location(s) Canary Wharf and Cubitt Town (in the London Docklands)
- 4. Primary data collected Social and environmental quality survey, questionnaire
- 5. Secondary data used House price changes over time
- 6. For a named method, what sampling strategy was used? Questionnaire: random sampling
- 7. For a named method, what data presentation technique was used? Social and environmental quality survey: comparative bar graph
- 8. Conclusion of investigation (this answers your hypothesis and/or investigation title) The hypothesis is supported: regeneration has not benefited Canary Wharf and Cubitt Town equally. **Canary Wharf has benefitted more.**

Location(s): Cubitt Town and Canary Wharf (London Docklands)

Explain the advantage(s) of the location(s) used for your fieldwork enquiry (2 marks)

- The London Docklands is one of the largest regeneration projects ever undertaken in Europe
- By visiting 2 sites we can compare the effects of regeneration to see if the benefits have been evenly spread

1. ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY SURVEY

Quali	ties being assessed	High + 2	Generally fine +1	Av. 0	Generally poor -1	Very poor -2	
Building design and quality	 Well designed / pleasing to the eye 						Poorly designed / ugly
	 In good condition – e.g. paintwork, woodwork 						In poor condition
	 Evidence of maintenance / improvement to houses 						Poorly maintained / no improvement
	 Outside – land, gardens are kept tidy / in good condition 						Outside – no gardens, or land / open space in poor condition
	 No vandalism evident, or graffiti has been cleaned up 						Extensive vandalism or visible graffiti in large amounts

4. QUESTIONNAIRE

Disagree						
Strong -2						
Justification:						
- The questionnaire was useful						
because we aathered data on						
people's opinions. These people have						
- we used random sampling. Random						
sampling is good because it is						
<u>unrepresentative</u> (we mostly spoke to						

2. Justify one primary data collection method used in your human geography enquiry (3)

- _____ was a suitable method to investigate _____
- One reason for this is... Therefore/this means...

3. Name and explain ONE disadvantage of the sampling strategy you used when investigating your human geography enquiry (3)

- For the ______ method, we used ______ sampling.
- The main disadvantage of this was... This meant that... As a result...

Evaluation of data collection

Strengths:

- Random sampling is simple to carry out and produces unbiased data
- Large sample size (over 150)
- We were able to get opinions from people who had actually experienced the regeneration

Weaknesses:

- Random sampling produces unrepresentative data
- We did not get good coverage of the Docklands because we only visited 2 sites
- We only spoke to certain groups of people (business men) because we collected data around lunch time during week days

Next time/to improve:

- Visit more than 2 sites to get better coverage of the study area
- Use stratified sampling to ensure all groups of people are spoken to

Data presentation: comparative bar graph

Bar Graph Comparing Social and Environmental Quality in Canary Wharf and Cubitt Town

Why a comparative bar graph?

- Very visual. Easy to plot by hand.
- Full range of data can be seen together with the patterns and groupings of the data.
- Good for comparing sets of data (managed and unmanaged zone)
- Appropriate for discrete data (data that can be put into categories) BUT...
- Significant differences are hard to compare



Analysis and conclusions

HEADLINE CONCLUSION: The hypothesis is supported: regeneration has not benefited Canary Wharf and Cubitt Town equally. Canary Wharf has benefitted more.

ANALYSIS (why have we reached this conclusion?):

- Both of the main methods of primary data collection –SEQS and questionnaires – provide evidence that the social, economic and environmental benefits of regeneration have been experienced more in Canary Wharf than in Cubitt Town.
- This is because the regeneration project focused on attracting big businesses that employ highly skilled people, not suitable for people living in the area (e.g. in Cubitt Town)